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Opinion

NEW YORK, Sep 19, 2011 -- Moody's Investors Service has downgraded the senior unsecured rating of California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) to A3 from A2 and assigned a negative outlook to the rating. This action affects the ratings on the following outstanding bonds of CalHFA that are supported by the Agency's issuer rating: the Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds III (MF III Bonds, approximately $972 million outstanding) and the Housing Program Bonds (approximately $122 million outstanding). No other ratings are affected. Moody's has also downgraded the rating on CalHFA's Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds (HMHB) to Ba1 from Ba2, also with a negative outlook; this action is discussed in a separate report.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The downgrade is based on continued pressure on the Agency's overall financial position due to the performance of the HMHB, particularly in light of the recent downgrade of Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation (Genworth) to Ba1 from Ba2 which was reflected in today's rating action on HMHB. It also reflects risks related to the Agency's high level exposure of variable rate debt, including, in particular, its exposure to interest rate swap counterparties and rollover risk of credit and liquidity facilities affecting the MF III Bonds.

A negative outlook has been assigned reflecting the pressures CalHFA continues to face due to the uncertainty of the timing and pace of the economic and real estate recovery in California and its impact on mortgage losses, fund balances and profitability. The outlook also reflects potential challenges in repayment of bank bonds if credit and liquidity facilities issued under the Temporary Credit and Liquidity Program (TCLP) expire without being replaced or the associated debt refinanced.

Strengths

Strong balance sheet: asset to debt ratios have remained steady, as have fund balances as a percentage of debt; liquid funds which are available to pay near term obligations have also increased

Active and experienced management has focused on improving the Agency's financial position through the financial crisis and mortgage market decline

Strong multifamily loan portfolio, with solid debt service coverage and few delinquencies, contributes to net revenues and asset base

Agency has taken full advantage of federal programs to assist HFAs, including NIBP, TCLP and HHF

Challenges

Severe decline in performance of single family mortgage portfolio has been a key source of losses over the past three years

Large portfolio of interest rate swaps exposes the Agency to significant liquidity challenges as a result of collateral posting requirements, as well as counterparty risk and constraints in debt management

Variable rate debt exposes the Agency to interest rate risk as swaps are terminated and increasing amounts of debt become unhedged, as well as cash flow challenges caused by potential prepayment of bank bonds upon expiration of TCLP liquidity facilities

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

Financial performance: while the balance sheet is still strong, single family mortgage programs have driven losses.

Despite recent weakening of its financial performance, CalHFA continues to have a balance sheet sufficient to meet its obligations with a margin sufficient to support an A3 rating. The Agency's assets and liabilities decreased beginning in early 2009 as mortgage origination and bond issuance dropped sharply reflecting the financial crisis. Although fund balances declined over the fiscal years ending 6/30/09 and 6/30/10 (the most recent available audit), they remained stable or improved as a percentage of bonds outstanding. As of 6/30/10 the Agency had an adjusted combined fund balance of $1,215 billion (16.03% of bonds), down from $1,303 billion as of 6/30/09 (15.14% of bonds). (The combined fund balance is assets less liabilities, after Moody's adjustments, including those in bond indentures but excluding the state-funded Contract Administration Account). The adjusted general fund balance (adjusted assets less liabilities outside of bond indentures) declined from $775.3 million (9.01% of bonds) to $670.4 million (8.85% of bonds). Unaudited statements through 3/31/11 point to continuation of these trends through the most recent fiscal years: as of 3/31/11 the adjusted combined fund balance was $1.17 billion (16.67% of bonds) and the adjusted general fund balance was $664.7 million (9.52% of bonds).

The Agency experienced significant operating losses in the fiscal years ended 6/30/09 and 6/30/10 which were driven by two factors: single family mortgage losses and declining income on investments due to low interest rates. Adjusted net operating revenues declined from $31.8 million in FY 08 to $3.8 million in FY 09 to negative $28.6 million in FY 2010 (after gap payments of $43.3 million). For the nine months ended 3/31/11 (unaudited), adjusted net operating revenues were negative $29.3 million, pointing to continued losses during FY 2011.
The impact of single family mortgages is illustrated by isolating payments on the Agency’s “gap” mortgage insurance - a form of umbrella mortgage insurance provided on loans in HMRB - as a factor. Gap claims included in these losses increased from $196,000 in FY 2008 to $12.3 million in FY 2009 to $43.26 million in FY 2010; for the nine months ended 3/31/11 gap payments were $46.1 million. If gap payments are excluded therefore the Agency had operating income in each fiscal year ($32 million in FY 08, $16 million in FY 09, and $14.5 million in FY 10; and $19.7 million for the nine month period ended 3/31/11). The future direction of losses on the single family portfolio will continue to be a key driver of the Agency’s credit strength.

In addition to operating results, total net revenues have also been driven by non-operating items generated by the Agency’s actions to manage its balance sheet through the credit crisis. These included decisions to terminate swaps at market, as well as sales of mortgage assets. Total net revenue declined from $31.9 million for FY 2008 (5.9% of total revenue) to negative $12.4 million (-1.57% of gross revenues) in FY 09 to negative $84 million (-12.39% of gross revenues) in FY 10; unaudited statements point to improved overall results in FY 11.

Liquidity resources have increased from $162 million at 6/30/10 to $263 million as the Agency has worked to improve its available balances. Potential single family losses and potential swap collateral posting continue to pose challenges to liquidity in focus as a potential credit challenge.

The relative stability of the balance sheet, despite the impact of three years of the mortgage crisis is an important cushion against potential further losses. The Agency’s balance sheet is able to withstand Moody’s capital charges related to single family and multifamily lending, interest rate swap exposure and other costs, at a level sufficient to support an A3 rating. Future performance will be driven by potential strains on liquidity levels driven by single family losses and interest rate swap collateral, and longer-term effects of potential terminations of TOLP liquidity facilities.

Single family mortgage performance remains a key source of stress, although some signs of improvement may be developing.

Losses from single family mortgage loan delinquency and foreclosures will continue to be a key source of stress going forward. Single family lending had been the Agency’s largest activity; single family programs constitute approximately 81% of bonds and 73% of mortgages outstanding, and are a key driver of profitability and balance sheet changes. Please see today’s report on HMRB for further information about the performance of the single family portfolio and the decreased support from mortgage insurance, particularly in light of the downgrade of recent downgrade of Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation (GMICO) to Baa1 from Baa2 (negative outlook) which are key factors in today’s ratings actions.

Although single family loans had been financed primarily through the HMRB indenture, which is not a general obligation of the Agency, cash flow shortfalls in HMRB resulting from mortgage losses could affect the Agency’s General Fund because net interest rate swap payments on HMRB hedges are not obligations of HMRB but rather are general obligations of the Agency. As long as interest rates remain low (so that net swap payments are due to counterparties) the Agency pays from its operating account, which is reimbursed from HMRB after payment of debt service. Any actual cash shortfalls resulting from loan losses may be absorbed by the operating account through reduced swap reinbursements.

Strong attention by management to improvement of single family asset management over the past two years should serve to manage losses to some extent, as should the availability of $1.9 billion of federal Hardest Hit Funds (HHF) for mortgage relief for California homeowners. In addition, we have reviewed cash flows projections for HMRB, as well as liquidity projections for the Agency’s general fund, which support the ability to absorb loan losses and meet other obligations to a level sufficient to support the ratings assigned. The levels of losses stemming from single family mortgages will continue to be a key factor going forward.

Interest rate swaps and related collateral posting continue to pose challenges to liquidity and cash flows, but per termination options may reduce collateral posting and swap obligations in the medium term. CalHFA’s portfolio of interest rate swaps continues to be a key source of potential stress on cash flows and liquidity resources. A key issue is the collateral posting provisions which require collateral posting by CalHFA (subject to certain thresholds) against the swap mark-to-market value, which has remained materially negative to CalHFA as interest rates have remained low (currently approximately negative $330 million). The Agency currently posts approximately $35 million in collateral, which we consider manageable; projected collateral posting levels at the Baal level are considered manageable as well. However, were either of the Agency’s issuer ratings (Moody’s or S&P) to fall below the Baal level - two notches below the current rating - CalHFA could be obligated to post additional collateral of approximately $200 million, which is likely to severely strain or exceed the Agency’s liquidity resources.

The Agency purchased rights to terminate many of the swaps (without paying their market value), and exercise of these options is a key mitigant to this risk. The Agency already has significantly reduced its swap book, from approximately $3.2 billion as of October 2010 to approximately $2.7 billion as of August 2011(not including approximately $200 million of basis swaps). Exercise of options will allow reduction to approximately $2 billion as of August 2012 and $1.6 billion as of August 2013, with further reductions in future years. As the swap contracts grow shorter, the decline in notional amount will give rise to significant reduction in mark-to-market value; the Agency estimate that market value (and thus maximum collateral posting exposure) could reach approximately $240 million in 2012 and $160 million in 2013 based on today’s very low yield curve. In addition to reducing collateral posting, reducing swaps will increase the Agency’s flexibility in managing its variable rate exposure (although it may lead to increased interest rate exposure in HMRB to the extent that variable rate bonds become unhedged).

Counterparty risk is reasonably diversified among a group of large financial institutions with ratings in the A to Aa ranges; the largest exposures include JP Morgan Chase Bank NA (rated Aa1-P1, outlook negative); Bank of America, NA (rated Aa3/RA1 for downgrade) P-I (on review for downgrade); Citibank rated A1-P1 (on review for possible downgrade) Goldman Sachs Muni Derivative Products, LP (rated Aa1) and Deutsche Bank AG (rated Aa3-P1, stable). Downgrades of key swap counterparties could adversely affect CalHFA’s ratings in the future depending on their severity. The swaps have generated basis expense - mismatch between variable rate swap receipts and the variable rate bond payments they are designed to hedge - that have contributed to the operating losses described above.

Potential Termination of Liquidity Facilities May Increase Cash Flow Stress. The potential for expiration of external liquidity facilities is another potential source of stress and a focus of our analysis. In addition to variable rate bonds in HMRB, approximately $689 million VRDOs are outstanding under the Multifamily II Bonds and Housing Program Bond Indentures, which are agency general obligation. All of these VRDOs benefit from credit and liquidity facilities under the federal TOLP program. The TOLP facilities expire on or about December 31, 2012; although the US Treasury has indicated its intent to consent to a three-year extension of the facilities (to 12/31/15), the terms of such an extension are not yet known. If the facilities expire at any point before repayment without being modified or replaced, the VRDOs may become bank bonds and bear interest at 1% or more over the prime rate, which would increase the program’s interest costs significantly. Moreover, TOLP facilities provide that bank bonds remaining outstanding must be repaid in full in December 2012, which could place significant strain on Multifamily III
A significant downgrade of HMRB, impairing the ability of HMRB to reimburse the operating account for swap payments.

A further downgrade of GMICO which provides reinsurance for HMRB mortgages.

A negative outlook has been assigned reflecting the pressures CalHFA continues to face due to the uncertainty of the timing and pace of the

Management actions remain a positive factor.

Actions by CalHFA's management to address financial issues confronting the Agency, particularly in light of the challenges of severe single family mortgage delinquencies and high exposure to variable rate debt, have contributed positively to our rating assessment. Over the past two years, the Agency has substantially enhanced its single family asset management function and reduced timelines for moving defaulted loans through the pipeline. Successful application of the large HHF award should also contribute to efforts to reduce single family losses.

Outlook

Anagative outlook has been assigned reflecting the pressures CalHFA continues to face due to the uncertainty of the timing and pace of the economic and real estate recovery in California and its impact on mortgage losses, fund balances and profitability, as well as pressure from swap collateral posting and expiration of TCLP liquidity facilities.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

Increase in fund balances and/or profitability

Successful management of single family mortgage loan delinquencies and foreclosures so as to contain or reduce losses

Reduced variable rate bond exposure, including successful replacement of TCLP facilities through new facilities or conversions of bonds to modes not requiring external liquidity, reducing the size of the swap portfolio and/or reducing the potential impacts of swap collateral posting.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

Continued low levels of profitability and/or declines in fund balances

Increases in single family losses, due to future increases in delinquencies/foreclosures, and/or higher than anticipated losses on delinquent/foreclosed loans

A further downgrade of GMICO which provides reinsurance for HMRB mortgages

A significant downgrade of HMRB, impairing the ability of HMRB to reimburse the operating account for swap payments.

Increase in variable rate pressures, including especially an increase in swap collateral posting due further declines in interest rates or ratings downgrades, swap counterparty downgrades or other unforeseen counterparty events, or lack of successful transition from TCLP liquidity facilities.

The principal methodology used in this rating was Moody's Methodology for Assigning Issuer Ratings to Housing Finance Agencies published in May 2001. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

Regulatory Disclosures

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular
rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings and public information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The data on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.
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