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INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors
California Housing Finance Fund
Sacramento, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the California Housing Finance Fund (the Fund), which is administrated by the California Housing Finance Agency (the Agency), a component unit of the State of California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Fund’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 11, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Fund’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.
Compliance and Other Matters
As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report
The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Fund’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP
Baltimore, Maryland
March 11, 2019
Board of Directors
California Housing Finance Fund
Sacramento, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program
We have audited the California Housing Finance Fund’s (the Fund), which is administered by the California Housing Finance Agency (the Agency), a component unit of the State of California, compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the Fund’s major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2018. The Fund’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility
Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors’ Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Fund’s major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Fund’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Fund’s compliance.
Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Fund complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Fund is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Fund’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the Fund as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Fund’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 11, 2019, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been
subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Baltimore, Maryland
March 11, 2019
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Pass through Grantor/ Program or Cluster Title</th>
<th>Federal CFDA Number</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number</th>
<th>Passed Through to Subrecipients</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8 Housing Assistance Payment Program</td>
<td>14.195</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 51,919,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Demonstration Program</td>
<td>14.326</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>528,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Treasury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Foreclosure Mitigation Counseling</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 52,596,032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Note to the Schedule.
NOTE 1  BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the California Housing Finance Fund (the Fund) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2018. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFP Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Fund, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flow of the Fund.

NOTE 2  SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. The Fund has elected to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

NOTE 3  SUB-RECIPIENTS

The Fund provided no federal awards to sub-recipients during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.
Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Results

Financial Statements

1. Type of auditors’ report issued: Unmodified

2. Internal control over financial reporting:
   - Material weakness(es) identified? yes x no
   - Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes  x none reported

3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes x no

Federal Awards

1. Internal control over major federal programs:
   - Material weakness(es) identified? yes x no
   - Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes  x none reported

2. Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified

3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? yes x no

Identification of Major Federal Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number(s)</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.195</td>
<td>Section 8 Project Based Cluster</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: $1,577,881

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? yes x no
Section II – Financial Statement Findings

Our audit did not disclose any matters required to be reported in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs

Our audit did not disclose any matters required to be reported in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.
### Section IV – Prior Year Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 2017-001:</th>
<th>Section 8 Housing Assistance Payment Program, CFDA #14.195</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Agency:</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Requirement:</td>
<td>Subrecipient Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Finding:</td>
<td>Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Compliance, Other Noncompliance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Condition:** 1 of 15 projects tested did not make the required monthly deposits to the replacement reserve account. 1 of 12 projects selected did not have a Management and Occupancy Review (MOR) completed within the past 12 months.

**Status:** The finding was cleared in the current year.