CalHFA MULTIFAMILY PROGRAMS DIVISION

Final Commitment Staff Report & Request for Tax-Exempt and Taxable Conduit Issuance and Loan Approval of Mixed Income Program Subsidy Financing

Senior Loan Committee "Approval": July 7, 2020 for Board Meeting on: September 10, 2020

| Project Name, County: | The Atchison, Contra Costa County | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Address: | 2575 Railroad Ave., Pittsburg, 94565 | | | | |
| CalHFA Project Number: | 19-065-A/X/N | | | | |
| Requested Financing by Loan Program: | \$ 47,100,000 | Tax-Exempt Bond – Conduit Issuance Amount (Pacific Western Bank) | | | |
| | \$25,850,000 | Taxable Bond – Conduit Issuance Amount (Pacific Western Bank) | | | |
| | \$10,000,000 | Subsidy GAP Loan funded by MIP funds | | | |

DEVELOPMENT/PROJECT TEAM

| Developer: | Corporation for Better Housing (CBH) | Borrower: | 2575 Railroad Ave., L.P. |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Construction and Permanent Lender: | Pacific Western Bank ("PWB") | Equity Investor: | Alliant Capital |
| Loan Officer: | Steve Beckman | Loan Specialist: | Kevin Brown |
| Asset Manager: | Jessica Doan | Loan Administration: | Jennifer Beardwood |
| Legal (Internal): | Torin Heenan | Legal (External): | Orrick Herrington Sutcliffe |
| Concept Meeting Date: | TBD | Approval Expiration Date: | 6 months from Approval |

CALHFA LOAN TERMS

| | CONDUIT ISSUANCE Pacific Western Bank | PERMANENT LOAN Pacific Western Bank | MIP (GAP) LOAN |
|---|--|--|--|
| Total Loan Amount | \$47,100,000 (T/E) \$25,850,000 (Tax) | \$32,647,000 (T/E) | \$10,000,000 |
| Loan Term & Lien Position | 36 months- interest only; 1 st & 2 nd Lien Position during construction. 1 six-month extension available. | 40-year AM, due in 17 1 st Lien Position | 17- year - Residual Receipts; 2 nd Lien Position during permanent |
| Interest Rate (subject to change and locked 30 days prior to loan closing) | Underwritten at 3.50% (T/E) fixed and 4.00% (Taxable) fixed. | Underwritten at 3.75% fixed | 2.75% Simple Interest |
| Loan to Value (LTV) | 78% of investment value | 72% of stabilized restricted value | N/A |
| Loan to Cost | 60% | 41% | N/A |

| | 2. | CDLAC/TCAC Closing Deadline: | 10/12/2020 | Est. Construction Loan Closing: | 9/2020 | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| ſ | | Estimated Construction Start: | 9/2020 | Est. Construction Completion: | 9/2022 | | | |
| | Estimated Stabilization and Conversion to Perm Loan(s): | | | 7/2023 | | | | |

ANTICIPATED PROJECT MILESTONES & SCHEDULE

SOURCES OF FUNDS

| SOURCE | AMOUNT | LIEN POSITION | DEBT TYPE |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| PWB Construction Loan (T/E) | \$47,100,000 | 1 | Interest Only |
| PWB Construction Loan (Taxable) | \$25,850,000 | 1 | Interest Only |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$7,648,926 | N/A | N/A |
| TOTAL | \$72,950,000 | \$399,005 | Per Unit |
| Permanent Financing | | | |
| SOURCE | AMOUNT | LIEN POSITION | DEBT TYPE |
| PWB Permanent Loan | \$32,647,000 | 1 | Balloon 40/17 |
| | \$10,000,000 | 2 | Residual Receipt Loan |
| CalHFA MIP Loan | \$10,000,000 | | |
| CalHFA MIP Loan Solar Equity | \$722,495 | N/A | N/A |
| | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A |
| Solar Equity | \$722,495 | - | - |

Subsidy Efficiency: CalHFA MIP \$10,000,000 (\$50,000 per unit MIP restricted between 50% and 120% AMI.)

Tax Credit Type(s), Amount(s), Pricing(s), and per TCAC restricted units:

- 4% Federal Tax Credits: \$32,440,980 assuming estimated pricing of \$0.875 (\$160,599 per TCAC restricted units).
- 4% State Tax Credits: \$14,927,646 assuming estimated pricing of \$0.73 (\$73,899 per TCAC restricted units).
- Solar Tax Credits: \$825,708, assuming estimated pricing of \$0.875 (\$4,088 per TCAC restricted units).

Rental Subsidies: The Project will not include any operating or rental subsidies.

Other State Subsidies: The Project will not be funded by other state funds.

Other Locality Subsidies: The Project will not be funded by locality funds.

Cost Containment Strategy:

Corporation for Better Housing (CBH), a 501 (c) (3) affordable housing developer, and its selected construction contractor certifies it subscribes to acceptable industry standards for construction cost containment and review and will continue to implement these standards in future developments. Typical cost review and minimization measures used during construction are:

- 1). Competitive bid process and, if necessary, self-performing trades
- 2). Three bid review
- 3). Value engineering

Competitive Bidding

BLH is familiar with both design and energy efficiency requirements required by TCAC, CDLAC, CalHFA, USDA and other affordable housing agencies. BLH has developed eight (8) Net Zero Energy (NZE) California multi-housing communities utilizing on-site solar PV, high efficiency mechanical systems and energy efficient construction techniques. Further, BLH utilizes "Planwell" during its bidding process. "PlanWell" is an online depository of building plans to allow all trades in the region the opportunity to review and bid on a proposed project.

Securing Bids

By utilizing "PlanWell" during the bidding process, BLH is able to secure bids for all major trades from subcontractors in the region. The collection of this data provides a benchmark for the various trades and provides scalability regarding costs in the local market and greater regional market.

Value Engineering

CBH's team of architects and engineers have all worked closely with one another for nearly 15 years allowing plans to be designed and developed in an efficient, cost effective and timely manner. This structure allows CBH to better forecast its future construction costs and monitor on-going costs at any single development.

4. Equity – Cash Out (estimate): N/A

| 5. | Legislative Districts | Congress: | #11 | Assembly: | #11 | State | #7 | |
|----|---------------------------|---|---|------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | | | Mark DeSaulnier | | Jim Frazier | Senate: | Steven Glazer | |
| | Brief Project Description | The Atchison (the "Project") is a family, mixed-use, mixed-income new construction project consisting of two 5-story elevator served buildings. There will be 202 total units, 200 of which will be restricted between 50% and 70% AMI. Units include 20 studio units (575 SF), 122 1-bedroom units (625 SF), and 60 2-bedroom units (925 SF). Two 1-bedroom units will be reserved for onsite managers. This project is not in a disaster area. | | | | | | |
| | | federal tax | tructure: The Projecredits and 4% state credits and 4% state The project qualifies | e tax credits, (| CalHFA permane | nt financing, | and MIP | |
| | | | and/or CDLAC State 0 and received an a | | | bonds and ta | ix credits in | |
| | | Ground Lea | se: Not applicable. | | | | | |
| | | Commercial Space: A triple net master lease will be executed for the approximate 14,0 SF of commercial and retail space that will be on the ground floor of the five-story build facing Railroad Avenue. The master lessee will be responsible for all expenses related to space. The developer sees demand for retail, restaurant, commercial and possibly sma office use in the area and has stated that the use will complement the residential build No commercial lease revenue was used to underwrite the residential cash flow. | | | | | | |
| | | pool and jac amenities w | The Project amenit cuzzi, playground, ce vill include central h d patios/balconies. | entral laundry | facilities, and a | computer ro | om. Unit | |

TRANSACTION FACTS

TRANSACTION OVERVIEW

| - | |
|----|---|
| 6. | Proposal and Project Strengths |
| • | The Project has secured 4% tax credits, CA tax credits and solar ITC tax credits. These sources are projected to generate equity representing 44% of total financing sources. |
| • | The Project includes approximately 14,000 SF of commercial space for office and retail, but no lease revenue was included in the underwriting of residential cash flow. |
| • | The Project will serve low-income families ranging between 50% to 70% of AMI. |
| • | The Project will include a photovoltaic solar installation which will provide electrical cost savings to the tenants, and to |
| | the project for common areas. |
| • | The Project's rents are priced at least 15% below comparable properties weighted average market rents. |
| • | There is strong demand for the project as vacancy in the PMA is 2.5%, and the population in the PMA has increased |
| | every year from 2000 to 2018. |
| 7. | Project Weaknesses with Mitigants: |
| • | The Project is located on an infill site that was formerly an auto dealership with a repair facility. |
| • | Based on findings from two Phase I reports (dated June 12, 2019 by Krazan & Associates and dated May 14, 2020 by Frey Environmental, Inc.) and a Phase II report dated August 8, 2019 by FREY Environmental, the project will consist of building placement upon a vapor barrier and gravel mat to mitigate the intrusion of soil vapor containing VOCs. See Section 22 for more details on environmental conditions of the site. |
| • | The developer voluntarily requested agency oversight of the subject site, which qualifies as a brownfield site. The developer will be enrolling in the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Site Cleanup Program to facilitate necessary remediation of the site. |
| • | The Project budget has \$600,000 for demolition and environmental remediation; CalHFA will verify with the developer that this amount is sufficient for demolition and remediation of the site. The Water Board is providing oversight of the remediation. The anticipated remediation will include demolition, asbestos/lead removal, soil removal/disposal and follow up testing as recommended in the Phase I and II reports. Oversight will include review of work at the site and any periodic testing outlined in the Phase I and II reports. The scope of remediation work is anticipated to cost approximately \$500,000. The budget includes a 20% contingency resulting in \$600,000 for this work. This estimate is based on conversations with a remediation company which works extensively in the Bay Area. The O&M Plan will be available prior to construction closing. |
| • | The exit analysis assumes 6.75% cap rate and 3% increase of the underwriting interest rate at loan maturity. Based on these assumptions, the Project will have the ability to repay a portion of the Agency's subsidy MIP loan in the estimated amount of \$6,283,912, leaving an outstanding balance of \$6,283,912. This is as expected by CalHFA given the requirement that the MIP loan be co-terminus with the permanent first mortgage. The primary source of repayment for the MIP subsidy loan is refinance of the project first mortgage. To the extent such a refinance is insufficient to fully repay the MIP loan, it is contemplated that any remaining balance will be paid from a general partner contribution as part of the final close out of partnership obligations to allow re-syndication. |
| 8. | Underwriting Standards or Term Sheet Variations |
| • | The developer will install a photovoltaic solar system that will provide saving in electrical cost to the tenants and save common area electrical cost to the Project. The proposed (reduced) Utility Allowance ("UA") of \$10 is being used for underwriting, which is consistent with the California Utility Allowance Calculator ("CUAC") report that is generally acceptable by TCAC. The final UA will be subject to TCAC and CalHFA approval. |
| • | The MIP term sheet requires repayment of the MIP loan to be a pro rata share of 50% of net cash flow and 50% to the Developer. This would result in \$4.3M in developer fee unpaid in Year 15. As a condition to investor's pricing and estimated equity referenced on the letter of interest, the equity investor requires that the deferred developer's fee be substantially repaid by year 13 and fully repaid by year 15. The Developer has requested and the Multifamily Lending |

substantially repaid by year 13 and fully repaid by year 15. The Developer has requested and the Multifamily Lending Division recommends 100% of net cash flow paid towards deferred developer fee until the deferred developer fee is

fully paid off or year 15. Once either the deferred developer fee is paid off or year 15, whichever is soonest, net cash flow distribution will be split 50% to the Developer and 50% to the MIP loan.

9. **Project Specific Conditions of Approval**

Approval is conditioned upon:

- Evidence that transfer of site ownership has been fully executed from the current fee owners to the MGP and ALP of the developer team.
- Subject to receipt of final contract budget of remediation scope of work acceptable to CalHFA.
- Evidence of all environmental remediation prior to Perm Loan conversion.
- The Project must meet the readiness requirements within 180 days from TCAC/CDLAC allocation.
- CalHFA will require the developer to provide a cost containment certification that is acceptable to the Agency.
- The deferred developer fee of \$7.6M will be repaid in year 15 per project cashflow. Therefore, the owner must provide evidence of investor approval of the total deferred developer's fee structure in case the GP (as negotiated with the ILP) will need to make a capital contribution to pay off the remaining DDF. This will be documented in the LPA with the Tax Credit Investor to ensure that the DDF will be fully repaid by year 15 and in line with the investor's position in Section 8.
- Lender(s), equity investor, and borrower shall permit CalHFA to recycle all or a portion of Project's tax-exempt bonds, as applicable.
- CalHFA requires that MIP affordability covenants be recorded in first position.
- Receipt of a certification by the engineer on record that Project has been built to current seismic code acceptable to the Agency prior to permanent closing.
- Closing on construction financing will be subject to final LPA being substantially consistent with the assumptions made at time of final commitment and that it is acceptable to CalHFA.
- Subject to receipt of a certification acceptable to CalHFA from the engineer on record that project was built to current seismic code prior to permanent loan closing.
- The CalHFA subsidy will be, in the Agency's sole discretion, the lesser of 1) the principal amount as stated hereto or 2) an amount as determined by the Agency in the event the financial assumptions change prior to construction loan and/or permanent loan closing. For instance, if the permanent loan interest rate decreased, or project rents increase, then the subsidy may be reduced due to additional debt generated by the lower interest rate or higher rents. The initial debt service coverage ratio ("*DSCR*") shall be a maximum of 1.20. An increase of the subsidy loan will not be allowed and will be subject to Agency's approval.

10. Staff Conclusion/Recommendation:

The Multifamily Lending Division supports approval of the described financing in the amount(s) requested, subject to the above proposed terms and conditions.

MISSION & AFFORDABILITY

11. CalHFA Mission/Goals

This Project and financing proposal provide 200 units of affordable housing with a range of restricted rents between 50% AMI and 120% of AMI which will support much needed rental housing that will remain affordable for 55 years.

12. CalHFA Affordability & Occupancy Restrictions

- The CalHFA Bond and Permanent Financing Regulatory Agreements will restrict a minimum of 40% of the total units at or below 60% AMI with 10% of these units at 50% of AMI for 55 year(s).
- The CalHFA MIP Subsidy Regulatory Agreement will restrict 10% of total units (21) units at or below 50% of AMI and 10% of total units (21 units) between 60% to 80% of AMI with a minimum average of 70% of AMI. The remaining 158 of restricted units will be restricted at or below 120% of AMI. The affordability restrictions for the

Mixed Income Program require at least 10% of the units be restricted to 81% to 120% of AMI, with an average of 100% of AMI, if supported by a market study. However, per a market study dated December 3, 2019 the Project can only support rents at a maximum of 70% AMI and still comply with the requirement that rents be 10% below market. Therefore, this project will comply with affordability requirement of 60% to 80% of AMI with an average of 70% of AMI.

- There will be 2 unrestricted manager's units.
- Any rent restrictions on units pursuant to a density bonus or conditional use permit shall not impact MIP affordability restrictions.

| RENT LIMIT SUMMARY TABLE | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--|--|
| Restrictions @ AMI | Total | Studio | 1-bdrm | 2-bdrm | % of Total | | |
| 50% | 100 | 10 | 60 | 30 | 49.50% | | |
| 70% | 100 | 10 | 60 | 30 | 49.50% | | |
| Manager's Unit | 2 | | 2 | | 1.00% | | |
| Total | 202 | 20 | 122 | 60 | 99.00% | | |

NUMBER OF UNITS AND AMI RENTS RESTRICTED BY EACH AGENCY

| Regulatory Source | Lien Priority if Recorded Document | Term of Agrmt (years) | Num | | | Restr Catego | icted for ory |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------------------|
| | | , ignini (jouro) | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | <=120% |
| CalHFA Bond | | 55 | 21 | 60 | | | |
| CalHFA MIP | 1st | 55 | 21 | | 21* | | 158 |
| Tax Credits | | 55 | 100 | | 100 | | |
| Density Bonus ¹ or CUP | | 55 | 23 | | | | |

¹ The partnership will enter into a 55-year regulatory agreement as a condition of approval for a 28% density bonus. The agreement restricts at least 11% of total units at VLI (23 units at 50 AMI), 20% at LI (41 units at 80 AMI) <u>or</u> 40% of the units at moderate income (81 units at 80-120 AMI).

*Note: For MIP purposes, 10% (8 units) will be restricted at or below 50% of AMI, 10% (8 units) will be restricted between 60% to 80% of AMI, and the remaining 55 restricted units will be restricted at or below 120% of AMI. The rents for the 60% to 80% tranche will be determined by the minimum income restriction of 70% of AMI.

13. Geocoder Information

| Cer | ntral City: | No | Underserve | ed: No | |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Lov | v/Mod Census Tract: | Moderate | Below Pove | erty line: 28.26% | |
| Mir | nority Census Tract: | 76.58% | Rural Area: | No | |
| TCA | AC Opportunity Area: | Low Resource | | | |

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS SUMMARY

| 14. | Capitalized Reserves: | |
|-----|--|---|
| | Replacement Reserves (RR): | N/A. |
| | Operating Expense Reserve (OER): | \$802,000 OER amount is size based on 3 <u>months</u> operating expenses, debt service, and annual replacement reserves deposits pursuant to Alliant Capital; Alliant Capital or Borrower will hold reserve. |
| | Transitional Operating Reserve (TOR): | |
| 15. | Cash Flow Analysis | |

| | 1 st Year DSCR: | 1.15 | Project-Based Subsidy Term: | N/A | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | End Year DSCR (Y17): | 1.56 | Annual Replacement Reserve Per Unit: | \$300/unit | | | | |
| | Residential Vacancy Rate: | 5% | Rental Income Inflation Rate: | 2.50% | | | | |
| | Subsidy Vacancy Rate: | N/A | Subsidy Income Inflation Rate: | N/A | | | | |
| | Non-residential Vacancy Rate: | 0% ** | Project Expenses Inflation Rate: | 3.50% | | | | |
| | | | Property Tax Inflation Rate: | 1.25% | | | | |
| | **The non-residential income and operating expense are not included in the underwriting for this project. The space will be controlled by a long-term triple net Master Lease which provides sufficient risk mitigation to justify the use of a vacancy rate. | | | | | | | |
| 16. | Loan Security | | | | | | | |
| • Th | e CalHFA loan(s) will be secured a | against the above des | cribed Project site. | | | | | |
| 17. | Balloon Exit Analysis | Applicable: | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| 17.Balloon Exit AnalysisApplicable:YesNoThe exit analysis assumes 6.75% cap rate and 3% increase of the underwriting interest rate at loan maturity. Based on these assumptions, the Project will have the ability to repay a portion of the Agency's subsidy MIP loan in the estimated amount of \$6,283,912, leaving an outstanding balance of \$7,695,482. This is as expected by CalHFA given the requirement that the MIP loan be co-terminus with the permanent first mortgage. The primary source of repayment for both the first mortgage and the MIP subsidy loan is refinance of the project first mortgage. To the extent such a refinance is insufficient to fully repay the MIP loan, it is contemplated that any remaining balance will be paid from a general partner contribution as part of the final close out of partnership obligations to allow re-syndication. | | | | | | | | |

APPRAISAL AND MARKET ANALYSIS

| 18. | Appraisal Review | |
|-----|---|---|
| | The Appraisal dated May 22, 2020, prepared by CBRE Valuation & Advisory Servic \$5,250,000. The Restricted Value is \$45,300,000 resulting in an LTV of 72%. The capitalization rate of 4.75% was used to determine the appraised value of th The project's projected operating expenses was determined overall to be in line or comparable sites. | e subject site. |
| | Market Study: Novogradac | Dated: December 3, 2019 Effective Date: October 7, 2019 |
| | Regional Market Overview The Primary Market Area is the city of Pittsburg, Bay Point and the western port (population of 40,537) and the Secondary Market Area ("SMA") is San Francisco 1,748,006) The general population in the PMA is anticipated to increase by 1.1% per year. Unemployment in Contra Costa County was 3% according to the Report's econo was collected prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic that has significantly State and nationally. The Project can only support rents at a maximum of 70% AMI and still comply w 10% below market. Local Market Area Analysis Supply: There are currently 8 LIHTC comparable family project(s) in the PMA. A renovated between 2000 and 2019. 6 were 100% occupied and have w over 95% occupied. | -Oakland-Hayward (population of mic analysis. However, the data increased unemployment in the ith the requirement that rents be Il were constructed or last |

- There are 3 affordable projects (2 family and 1 veteran) proposed in the PMA. No affordable projects are under construction, though there is one market-rate family building in construction at the time of the report.
- There have been 9 LIHTC projects awarded in the PMA since 2015, of which 2 were new construction. The remaining were Acquisition/Rehab projects.

Demand/Absorption:

- The project will need to capture 19.1% of the total demand for family units in the PMA.
- The affordable units are anticipated to lease up at a rate of 28 to 33 units per month and reach stabilized occupancy within 6-7 months of opening.

There is one project (Bay Point Family Apartments) that was allocated LIHTC in 2018 that involved new construction of a 193-unit building restricted to households at or below 50 and 60 AMI. This property may be competitive with Atchison depending on the projects' construction timeline.

DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

| 19. | Site Description | Requires Flood Insurance: 🗌 Yes 🔀 No | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | with Leland Road, in the Cite | he east side of Railroad Avenue approximately 0.1 mile south of the intersection y of Pittsburg, Contra Costa County. | | | | | | | |
| • | acres and is generally rectar | | | | | | | | |
| • | The subject is located in Flo | y Commercial (CC), with permitted multifamily residential use. od Zone X. Zone X is the area determined to be outside the 500-year flood and protected d, therefore the Project will not be subject to flood insurance. | | | | | | | |
| • | The site consists of an existing commercial structure that is vacant and a surface parking lot. Both structures will be razed to allow for construction of the project | | | | | | | | |
| • | • The developer has engaged third-party oversight of the subject site, which qualifies as a brownfield site, and reports will be shared with the Agency. The developer will be enrolling in the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Site Cleanup Program to facilitate necessary remediation of the site as described in Section 22. | | | | | | | | |
| 20. | Form of Site Control & Expi | ration Date | | | | | | | |
| amou the Aş exten | Int of \$4,200,000. An amendr greement for up to 3 consecu sion period. The Project ow | nto a Purchase and Sale Agreement dated 5/21/2019 which expired on 4/23/2020 for an ment was executed on 03/23/2020 that extends Close of Escrow pursuant to the terms of utive 30-day extensions by depositing an additional \$10,000 into escrow for each ner anticipates to close on the site prior to construction loan closing. | | | | | | | |
| 21. | Current Ownership Entity o | f Record | | | | | | | |
| Title i | s currently vested in Brenda l | M. White and Pamela Kay Moore as the fee owner. | | | | | | | |
| 22. | Environmental Review Find | lings | | | | | | | |
| | A Phase I Enviro restated genera 2019. The repo | s onsite to be demolished contain asbestos, lead-based paint, and mold. commental Site Assessment performed by Frey Environmental, Inc., dated May 14, 2020 al findings from the Phase I ESA completed by Krazan & Associates and dated June 12, orts revealed various environmental conditions. Specifically, Recognized Environmental Cs) and Vapor Encroachment Conditions (VECs) include two underground storage tanks | | | | | | | |

- Frey conducted a Phase II ESA dated August 8, 2019 and set forth results from soil borings and samples taken on the site to address the RECs and VECs presented in Krazan's Phase I ESA.
 - The Phase II report recommends an M&O plan that: 1) Contaminated soil from grading and waste from the demolition of the existing buildings should be transported to a proper facility; 2) Additional soil vapor surveys should be performed and analyzed after grading to be sure that be sure that contaminated soil was remediated; 3) A vapor barrier should be installed under the footprint of the building prior to construction; and 4) A follow-up soil vapor survey should be performed after the vapor barrier is installed to be sure it is working.
- The proposed development plans for the Site consist of building placement upon a vapor barrier and gravel mat which will mitigate the intrusion of soil vapor containing VOCs.
 - The developer has requested agency oversight of the subject site, which qualifies as a brownfield site. The
 developer will be enrolling in the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Site Cleanup
 Program to facilitate necessary remediation of the site as described above. As of this writing, an Operations
 and Maintenance Plan is underway and a requirement of the program, and the O&M Plan will be available prior
 to Board approval.
 - The Project budget has \$600,000 for demolition and environmental remediation.

| 23. | 23. Seismic Requires Earthquake Insurance: 🗌 Yes 🖂 No | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| receip | This new Project will be built to State and City of Pittsburg Building Codes so no seismic review is required. Subject to receipt of a certification acceptable to CalHFA from the engineer on record that project was built to current seismic code prior to permanent loan closing. | | | | | | | | | |
| 24. | Relocation | Requires Relocation: 🗌 Yes 🔀 Not Applicable | | | | | | | | |
| • T | he Project is ne | w construction, therefore, relocation is not applicable. | | | | | | | | |

PROJECT DETAILS

| nmercial space. Any comm sible uses for the space in is compatible with the res | Residential Square Footage: Community Area Sq. Ftg: Supportive Service Areas: No A triple net master leas hercial revenue generated and o clude retail, restaurant, other c sidential nature of the developr Non-Residential Sq. Footage: Master Lease: | operating exper ommercial and nent. 14,000 SF | ses are not part of the under | 134 164,250 ed for the writing. ill ensure the | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| nmercial space. Any comm sible uses for the space in is compatible with the res | Supportive Service Areas: No A triple net master leas hercial revenue generated and o clude retail, restaurant, other c sidential nature of the developr Non-Residential Sq. Footage: | N/A e with a term or operating exper ommercial and ment. 14,000 SF | Total Building Sq. Footage: f at least 17 years is anticipat uses are not part of the under small office. The applicant wi Number of Lease Spaces: | 164,250 ed for the writing. ill ensure the | | | |
| nmercial space. Any comm sible uses for the space in is compatible with the res | No A triple net master leas nercial revenue generated and o clude retail, restaurant, other c sidential nature of the developr Non-Residential Sq. Footage : | e with a term or operating exper ommercial and nent. 14,000 SF | f at least 17 years is anticipate ses are not part of the under small office. The applicant wi Number of Lease Spaces: | ed for the writing. ill ensure the | | | |
| nmercial space. Any comm sible uses for the space in is compatible with the res | hercial revenue generated and o clude retail, restaurant, other c sidential nature of the developr Non-Residential Sq. Footage: | operating exper ommercial and nent. 14,000 SF | ses are not part of the under small office. The applicant wi Number of Lease Spaces: | writing. ill ensure the | | | |
| astruction Type: | | | - | | | | |
| nstruction Type: | Master Lease: | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | Number of Parking Spaces: | 61 | | | |
| struction Type: | | | | | | | |
| | 27. Construction Type: Project consists of 2 separate buildings with surface parking. Building #1 is a type-V residential building over a one-story commercial space. Altogether, Building #1 is a 5-story type III residential building. | | | | | | |
| nstruction/Rehab Scope | Requires Demolition: | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| • | | n 22 above is inc | luded in the development bu | idget in the | | | |
| nstruction Budget Comme | nts: | | | | | | |
| ıb on at | ject site is new construct mental remediation of constructed amount of \$600,000. | ject site is new construction. mental remediation of contaminants outlined in sectior ed amount of \$600,000. truction Budget Comments: | ject site is new construction. mental remediation of contaminants outlined in section 22 above is inc ed amount of \$600,000. struction Budget Comments: | ject site is new construction. mental remediation of contaminants outlined in section 22 above is included in the development bu ed amount of \$600,000. | | | |

ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT/ PROJECT TEAM INFORMATION

| 30. | orrower Affiliated Entities |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| | anaging General Partner: Corporation for Better Housing (CBH), a California nonprofit public benefit corporation; 05% interest |
| | dministrative Limited Partner: Integrated Community Development, LLC (ICD), a California limited liability company; 05% interest; Investor Limited Partner: Alliant Capital; 99.99% interest |
| 31. | Developer/Sponsor |
| multi- projec projec family | s nearly 25 years of experience building affordable housing developments in California. TCAC's mapping database of mily projects indicates that CBH has been involved in 66 tax credit projects (4,097 restricted units), of which 26 s (1,953 restricted units) involved 4% tax credits. CBH currently has 3 projects (173 units) under construction, 2 s (32 units and 36 Single Family Residences) to start construction in 2020 and 6 projects (432 units and 79 single esidences) in the pipeline. As of June 11, 2020, CBH has 2 stabilized projects and 2 under construction in the CalHFA o. CalHFA staff notes on one of the stabilized projects that it is in compliance except for monthly report submissions. |
| | d ICD have a longstanding relationship with the Investor. Audited 2018 financials of CBH were reviewed and ed assets in excess of debt for both parties; contingent liabilities did not exceed the entity's real estate assets. |
| that v asset CBH. Mitiga | BH has had one highly troubled project in its recent history in California, arising from a deeply affordable property s purchased one year after CBH was formed, and built with an inexperienced local partner. While having a troubled not uncommon among its peers, this particular situation appears to have resulted in significant financial losses for t: Since this project, CBH does not appear to have had any substantively similar troubled projects. In addition, s direct experience with CBH has been positive. |
| 32. | Aanagement Agent |
| projec | ject will be managed by WinnResidential, which has extensive experience in managing similar affordable housing s in the area and manages several projects in CalHFA's portfolio. WinnResidential has reviewed the projected ng budget and confirms that the "numbers are sufficient for Winn Residential to manage the proposed site." |
| 33. | ervice Provider Required by TCAC or other funding source? 🗌 Yes 🖾 No |
| Onsite | services will not be available to the residents. |
| 34. | Contractor Experienced with CalHFA? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| famili the de | neral contractor, BLH Construction, has built over seventy (70) affordable housing communities in California and is with CalHFA. BLH is affiliated with ICD and GMP contracts will be used. While a cost breakdown was not provided, eloper indicated that profit, overhead and general conditions are sized at 14% of total hard costs to be consistent AC requirements. |
| 35. | Architect Experienced with CalHFA? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| | hitect is Y&M Architects, which has extensive experience in designing and managing similar affordable housing s in California through the locality's building permit process and is familiar with CalHFA. |
| 36. | ocal Review via Locality Contribution Letter |
| The lo | ality, City of Pittsburg, returned the local contribution letter stating they strongly support the project. |

EXHIBITS: Detailed Financial Analysis and applicable Term Sheets

| PROJECT SUMMARY | | | | | | Fina | I Commitment | |
|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | truction & Permanent Loans | | | | Proje | ct Number | 19-065-A/X | |
| Project Full Name Project Address Project City Project County Project Zip Code | The AtchisonBor2575 Railroad AvenueMarPittsburgDevContra CostaInve | | ower Name: ging GP: oper Name: tor Name: Management: | 2575 Railroad Ave., L.P. Corporation for Better Housing Integrated Community Development Alliant Capital, LTD Winn Residential | | | | |
| | | Tax C | redits: | | | 4 | | |
| Project Type: Tenancy/Occupancy: Total Residential Units: Total Number of Buildings: Number of Stories: Unit Style: Elevators: | Permanent Loan Only Individuals/Families 202 2 5 Flat 2 | Resic Resic Cove | Land Area (acre lential Square Fo lential Units Per red Parking Spa Parking Spaces | ootage: Acre: ces: | 3.94 143,250 51.27 0 195 | | | |
| AcalCo | nstruction/Rehab Financing | | Loan | | Loan | Amort. | Starting | |
| Αυί/Ου | | | Amount (\$) | Loan Fees | Term (Mo.) | Period (Yr.) | Interest Rate | |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Ban | k | | 47,100,000 | 0.850% | 36 | | 3.500% | |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Ban | | 25,850,000 | 0.850% | 36 | | 4.000% | | |
| Investor Equity Contribution | | | 7,648,926 | | | | | |
| | Permanent Financing | | Loan Amount (\$) | Loan Fees | Loan Term (Yr.) | Amort. Period (Yr.) | Starting Interest Rate | |
| PWB First Lien Loan | | | 32,647,000 | | 17 | 40 | 3.750% | |
| MIP | | | 10,000,000 | 1.000% | 17 | 55 | 2.750% | |
| Deferred Developer Fees | | | 7,657,681 | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| Solar Equity | | | 722,495 | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| Investor Equity Contributions | | | 39,279,135 | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| | Appraised Values Upo | on Completio | on of Rehab/Con | struction | | | | |
| Appraisal Date: Investment Value (\$) Construct/Rehab LTC Construct/Rehab LTV | 6/5/20 93,700,000 91% 78% | Restr Perm 1st P | Capitalization Rate: 4.75° Restricted Value (\$) 45,300, Permanent Loan to Cost 36% 1st Permanent Loan to Value 72% Combined CalHFA Perm Loan to Value 22% | | | | ,000 % % | |
| | Additional Loan | Terms, Cond | litions & Comme | ents | | | | |
| | Construction/Rehab Loan | | | | | | | |
| Payment/Performance Bond Completion Guarantee Letter | of Credit | | Waived N/A | | | | | |
| Permanent I Operating Expense Reserve Initial Replacement Reserve Annual Replacement Reserve | <u>_oan</u> Deposit Deposit | | \$0 \$0 \$300 | Cash Cash Cash | | | | |
| Date Prepared: 6/19/20 | | | | Se | enior Staff Dat | e. | 7/7/20 | |

UNIT MIX AND RENT SUMMARY The Atchison

Final Commitment

Project Number 19-065-A/X

| PROJECT UNIT MIX | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Unit Type of Style | Number of | Number of | Average | Number of | Est. No. of | | | | | |
| Offic Type of Style | Bedrooms | Baths | Size (Sq. Ft.) | Units | Tenants | | | | | |
| Flat | - | 1 | 575 | 20 | 30 | | | | | |
| Flat | 1 | 1 | 625 | 122 | 183 | | | | | |
| Flat | 2 | 1 | 925 | 60 | 180 | | | | | |
| | | | | 202 | 393 | | | | | |

| NUMBER OF UNITS AND PERCENTAGE OF AMI RENTS RESTRICTED BY EACH AGENCY | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|----------------|-------|-----|--------|--------|--|--|
| Arenov | | Ν | Each AMI Categ | egory | | | | | |
| Agency | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | <=120% | Market | | |
| CalHFA Bond/RiskShare | | | 21 | 60 | | | | | |
| CalHFA MIP | | | 21 | | 21 | 158 | | | |
| Tax Credit | | | 100 | | 100 | | | | |
| Density Bonus | | | 23 | | | | | | |

| COMPARISON OF AVERAGE MONTHLY RESTRICTED RENTS TO AVERAGE MARKET RENTS | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | | % of Area | Average Res | tricted Rents | Average | Average | % of | | |
| Unit Type | Restricting Agency | Median Income | Number of Units | Unit Rent | Market Rents | Monthly Savings | Market Rents | | |
| Studios | CTCAC | 50% | 10 | \$1,132 | \$1,750 | \$618 | 65% | | |
| | CTCAC | 70% | 10 | \$1,575 | \$ 1,750 | \$175 | 90% | | |
| 1 Bedroom | CTCAC | 50% | 60 | \$1,213 | \$1,950 | \$737 | 62% | | |
| | CTCAC | 70% | 60 | \$1,703 | \$ 1,950 | \$247 | 87% | | |
| 2 Bedrooms | CTCAC | 50% | 30 | \$1,458 | \$2,200 | \$742 | 66% | | |
| | CTCAC | 70% | 30 | \$1,980 | | \$220 | 90% | | |
| Date Prepared: | 6/19/20 | | | | Se | nior Staff Date: | 7/7/20 | | |

| SOURCES & USES OF FUNDS | | | | Final Corr | mitment |
|--|-------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| The Atchison | | Р | roject Number | 19-065 | -A/X |
| SOURCES OF FUNDS | CONST/REHAB | PERMANENT | TOTAL PROJE | CT SOURCES O | OF FUNDS |
| SOURCES OF FUNDS | \$ | \$ | SOURCES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | % |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Bank | 47,100,000 | | | | 0.0% |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Bank - taxable | 25,850,000 | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| - | - | | | | 0.0% |
| Construct/Rehab Net Oper. Inc. | - | | | | 0.0% |
| Deferred Developer Fee | - | | | | 0.0% |
| Developer Equity Contribution | - | | | | 0.0% |
| Investor Equity Contribution | 7,648,926 | | | | 0.0% |
| - MIP | | - 10,000,000 | - 10,000,000 | - 49.505 | 0.0% 11.1% |
| MIP | | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 49,505 | 0.0% |
| - | | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| - PWB First Lien Loan | | 32,647,000 | 32,647,000 | 161,619 | 36.2% |
| | | 52,047,000 | 52,047,000 | 101,013 | 0.0% |
| | | _ | | | 0.0% |
| | | - | _ | _ | 0.0% |
| - | | - | _ | - | 0.0% |
| Solar Equity | | 722,495 | 722,495 | 3,577 | 0.8% |
| - | | - | - | - , | 0.0% |
| - | | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Construct/Rehab Net Oper. Inc. | | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Deferred Developer Fees | | 7,657,681 | 7,657,681 | 37,909 | 8.5% |
| Developer Equity Contribution | | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Investor Equity Contributions | | 39,279,135 | 39,279,135 | 194,451 | 43.5% |
| TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS | 80,598,926 | 90,306,311 | 90,306,311 | 447,061 | 47.2% |
| TOTAL USES OF FUNDS (BELOW) | 80,598,926 | 90,306,311 | 90,306,311 | 447,061 | 100.0% |
| FUNDING SURPLUS (DEFICIT) | 0 | - | 0 | | |

| USES OF FUNDS | CONST/REHAB | PERMANENT | TOTAL PROJECT USES OF FUNDS | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 0323 01 1 0105 | \$ | \$ | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | % | |
| | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION/REHAB SOURCES OF FUNDS | | 80,598,926 | | | | |
| ACOUNSITION COSTS | | | | | | |
| ACQUISITION COSTS | 4 200 000 | | 4 200 000 | 20,702 | 4.7% | |
| Lesser of Land Cost or Appraised Value Demolition Costs | 4,200,000 600,000 | - | 4,200,000 600,000 | 20,792 2,970 | 4.7% | |
| | 600,000 | - | 600,000 | 2,970 | 0.7% | |
| Legal & Other Closing Costs | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Escrow & other closing costs | - | - | - | - | | |
| Verifiable Carrying Costs | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Existing Improvements Value | - | - | - | - | 0.0% 0.0% | |
| Delinquent Taxes Paid @ Closing | - | - | - | - | | |
| CalHFA Yield Maintenance Paid @ Closing | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Existing Replacement Reserve | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Broker Fees Paid to Related Party | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Other (specify) | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Other (Specify) | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| TOTAL ACQUISITION COSTS | 4,800,000 | - | 4,800,000 | 23,762 | 5.3% | |
| CONSTRUCTION/REHAB COSTS | | | | | | |
| Offsite Improvements | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Environmental Remediation (Hard Costs) | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Site Work (Hard Cost) | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Structures (Hard Cost) | 52,996,641 | - | 52,996,641 | 262,360 | 58.7% | |
| General Requirements | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Contractor Overhead | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Contractor Profit | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Contractor Bond | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Contractor Liability Insurance | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Personal Property | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| Solar Photovoltaic Installation | - | - | - | - | 0.0% | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCT/REHAB COSTS | 52,996,641 | - | 52,996,641 | 262,360 | 58.7% | |

| SOURCES & USES OF FUNDS The Atchison | | Pi | roject Number | Final Com 19-065 | |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| USES OF FUNDS | CONST/REHAB | PERMANENT | TOTAL PROJ | IECT USES OF | FUNDS |
| USES OF FUNDS | \$ | \$ | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | % |
| | | | | | |
| RELOCATION COSTS | | | | | |
| Relocation Expense | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Relocation Compliance Monitoring | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Other (Specify) | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| TOTAL RELOCATION COSTS | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| ARCHITECTURAL FEES | | | | | |
| Design | 827,900 | | 827,900 | 4,099 | 0.9 |
| Supervision | 027,900 | | 027,900 | 4,099 | 0.9 |
| TOTAL ARCHITECTURAL FEES | 827,900 | - | 827,900 | 4,099 | 0.0 |
| | 027,300 | | 021,500 | 4,033 | 0.5 |
| SURVEY & ENGINEERING FEES | | | | | |
| Engineering | 827,900 | - | 827,900 | 4,099 | 0.9 |
| Supervision | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| ALTA Land Survey | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| TOTAL SURVEY & ENGINEERING FEES | 827,900 | - | 827,900 | 4,099 | 0.9 |
| CONTINGENCY RESERVES | | | | | |
| Hard Cost Contingency Reserve | 2,649,833 | | 2 640 922 | 12 110 | 2.9 |
| Soft Cost Contingency Reserve | 2,049,833 | - | 2,649,833 800,000 | 13,118 3,960 | 2.9 0.9 |
| TOTAL CONTINGENCY RESERVES | 3,449,833 | - | 3,449,833 | 17,078 | 3.8 |
| TOTAL CONTINUENCE RESERVES | 3,443,000 | | 3,443,000 | 17,070 | 0.0 |
| CONSTRUCT/REHAB PERIOD COSTS | | | | | |
| Loan Interest Reserve | | | | | |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Bank | 3,503,063 | - | 3,503,063 | 17,342 | 3.9 |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Bank - taxable | 1,990,450 | - | 1,990,450 | 9,854 | 2.2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Loan Fees | 100.050 | | 100.050 | (0.00 | |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Bank | 400,350 | - | 400,350 | 1,982 | 0.4 |
| CalHFA Conduit/Pac West Bank - taxable | 219,725 | - | 219,725 | 1,088 | 0.2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 0.0 |
| | | | | | 0.0 |
| Other Const/Rehab Period Costs | | | | | |
| Deficit Const/Rehab NOI (Net Operating In | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Credit Enhancement & Application Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Owner Paid Bonds/Insurance | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| CalHFA Inspection Fees | 18,000 | - | 18,000 | 89 | 0.0 |
| Real Estate Taxes During Rehab | 30,000 | - | 30,000 | 149 | 0.0 |
| Completion Guaranty Fee | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Wage Monitoring Fee (Davis Bacon, Preva | | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Insurance During Construction | 975,000 | - | 975,000 | 4,827 | 1.1 |
| Title & Recording Fees | 125,000 | - | 125,000 | 619 | 0.1 |
| Construction Inspections | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Security | 150,000 | - | 150,000 | 743 | 0.2 |
| Bond Issuer Fee | 92,950 | - | 92,950 | 460 | 0.1 |
| Other Costs of Issuance (Bond Transaction | 36,336 | - | 36,336 | 180 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL CONST/REHAB PERIOD COSTS | 7,540,874 | | 7,540,874 | 37,331 | 8.4 |

| SOURCES & USES OF FUNDS The Atchison | | Pr | oject Number | Final Com 19-065 | |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|-----|
| | CONST/REHAB | PERMANENT | - | ECT USES OF | |
| USES OF FUNDS | \$ | \$ | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | % |
| | | | | | |
| PERMANENT LOAN COSTS | | | | | |
| Loan Fees | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 50 | 0.0 |
| CalHFA Application Fee | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 50 | 0.0 |
| MIP | - | 100,000 | 100,000 | 495 | 0. |
| - | - | - | - | | 0. |
| <u>-</u> | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| PWB First Lien Loan | - | 10,000 | 10,000 | 50 | 0. |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Permanent Loan Cost of Issuance Fee | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Credit Enhancement & Application Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Title & Recording (closing costs) | - | 35,000 | 35,000 | 173 | 0. |
| Year 1 - Taxes & Special Assessments and Insura | - | 79,300 | 79,300 | 393 | 0. |
| CalHFA Fees | - | 2,585 | 2,585 | 13 | 0. |
| Tax Exempt Bond Allocation Fee | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Other (CalHFA Misc) | - | 38,500 | 38,500 | 191 | 0. |
| TOTAL PERMANENT LOAN COSTS | 10,000 | 265,385 | 275,385 | 1,363 | 0. |
| | | | | | |
| LEGAL FEES | | | | | |
| CalHFA Construction/Rehab Loan Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Other Construction/Rehab Loan Legal Fees | 65,000 | - | 65,000 | 322 | 0. |
| CalHFA Permanent Loan Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Other Permanent Loan Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Sponsor Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Organizational Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Syndication Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Borrower Legal Fee | 175,000 | - | 175,000 | 866 | 0. |
| CalHFA Bond Counsel | 55,000 | - | 55,000 | 272 | 0. |
| TOTAL LEGAL FEES | 295,000 | - | 295,000 | 1,460 | 0. |
| | | | | | |
| OPERATING RESERVES | | | | | |
| Operating Expense Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Initial Replacement Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Transition Operating Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Rent-Up Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| HOME Program Replacement Reserve | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Investor Required Reserve | - | 802,000 | 802,000 | 3,970 | 0. |
| Other (Specify) | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| TOTAL OPERATING RESERVES | - | 802,000 | 802,000 | 3,970 | 0. |
| REPORTS & STUDIES | | | | | |
| Appraisal Fee | 20,000 | _ | 20,000 | 99 | 0. |
| Market Study Fee | 20,000 | - | 20,000 | 99 | 0. |
| Physical Needs Assessment Fee | 20,000 | | - 20,000 | - | 0. |
| Environmental Site Assessment Reports | 135,000 | _ | 135,000 | 668 | 0. |
| HUD Risk Share Environmental / NEPA Review F | | - | - | | 0. |
| CalHFA Earthquake Waiver Review Fee | - | - | - | _ | 0. |
| Relocation Consultant | _ | - | - | _ | 0. |
| Soils Reports | - | - | - | _ | 0. |
| Acoustical Reports | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Termite/Dry Rot | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Consultant/Processing Agent | - | - | - | - | 0. |
| Other (Soils/Field Testing & Inpsections/Survey) | - 115,000 | - | - 115,000 | - 569 | 0. |
| TOTAL REPORTS & STUDIES | | - | | | |
| IVIAL REPORTS & STUDIES | 290,000 | - | 290,000 | 1,436 | 0. |

| SOURCES & USES OF FUNDS | | | | Final Con | nmitment |
|--|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| The Atchison | | Р | roject Number | 19-065 | -A/X |
| USES OF FUNDS | CONST/REHAB | PERMANENT | JECT USES OF | FUNDS | |
| USES OF FUNDS | \$ | \$ | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | % |
| | | | | | |
| OTHER COSTS | | | | | |
| TCAC Application, Allocation & Monitor Fees | 130,867 | - | 130,867 | 648 | 0.1% |
| CDLAC Fees | 25,533 | - | 25,533 | 126 | 0.0% |
| Local Permits & Fees | 175,000 | - | 175,000 | 866 | 0.2% |
| Local Impact Fees | 8,198,255 | - | 8,198,255 | 40,585 | 9.1% |
| Other Local Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Syndicator/Investor Fees & Expenses | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Furnishings | 300,000 | - | 300,000 | 1,485 | 0.3% |
| Accounting & Audits | 35,000 | - | 35,000 | 173 | 0.0% |
| Advertising & Marketing Expenses | 202,000 | - | 202,000 | 1,000 | 0.2% |
| Financial Consulting | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Miscellaneous Administrative Fees (bank inspection | 31,500 | - | 31,500 | 156 | 0.0% |
| HUD Risk Share Insurance (First Year Prepaid) | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Other | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Other | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| TOTAL OTHER COSTS | 9,098,154 | - | 9,098,154 | 45,040 | 10.1% |
| | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL PROJECT COSTS | 80,136,302 | 81,666,311 | 81,203,687 | 401,998 | 89.9% |
| | | | | | |
| DEVELOPER FEES & COSTS | 0.40.004 | 0.040.000 | 0.000.004 | 44.400 | 0.00/ |
| Developer Fees, Overhead & Profit | 342,624 | 8,640,000 | 8,982,624 | 44,468 | 9.9% |
| Consultant Processing Agent | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Project Administration | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Syndicator Consultant Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Guarantee Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Construction Oversight & Management | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Other Adminstration Fees (partnership expenses) | 130,000 | - | 130,000 | 644 | 0.1% |
| Other (Specify) correction to balance | (10,000) | - | (10,000) | (50) | 0.0% |
| CASH EQUITY OUT TO DEVELOPER | - | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| TOTAL DEVELOPER FEES & COSTS | 462,624 | 8,640,000 | 9,102,624 | 45,062 | 10.1% |
| | 00 500 000 | 00 000 011 | 00 000 011 | 447.004 | 400.00/ |
| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS | 80,598,926 | 90,306,311 | 90,306,311 | 447,061 | 100.0% |

| PROJECTED INITIAL ANNUAL RENTAL OPERATING BUDGET The Atchison | Pro | ject Number | | rmai | | mitment -065-A/X |
|--|-----|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------|----|---------------------|
| INCOME | | AMOUNT | PE | | | % |
| Rental Income | | | | | | |
| Restricted Unit Rents | \$ | 3,662,040 | \$ | 18,129 | | 104.579 |
| Unrestricted Unit Rents | | _ | Ť | - | | 0.00% |
| Commercial Rents | | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| Rental & Operating Subsidies | | | | | | |
| Project Based Rental Subsidy | | - | | - | | 0.009 |
| Other Project Based Subsidy | | - | | - | | 0.009 |
| Income during renovations | | _ | | _ | | 0.009 |
| Other Subsidy (Specify) | | | | - | | 0.00% |
| Other Income | | - | | - | | 0.007 |
| | | 24.240 | | 120 | | 0.600 |
| Laundry Income | | 24,240 | | 120 | | 0.69% |
| Parking & Storage Income | | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| Miscellaneous Income | • | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| GROSS POTENTIAL INCOME (GPI) | \$ | 3,686,280 | \$ | 18,249 | | 105.26% |
| Less: Vacancy Loss | \$ | 184,314 | \$ | 912 | | 5.26% |
| EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME (EGI) | \$ | 3,501,966 | \$ | 19,161 | | 100.00% |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | AMOUNT | PE | | | % |
| Administrative Expenses | \$ | 450,478 | \$ | 2,230 | \$ | |
| Management Fee | Ψ | 175,098 | Ť | 867 | Ť | 5.00% |
| Social Programs & Services | | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| Utilities | | 305,851 | | 1,514 | | 8.73% |
| Operating & Maintenance | | 400,744 | | 1,984 | | 11.44% |
| Ground Lease Payments | | 400,744 | | 1,904 | | |
| - | | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| CalHFA Monitoring Fee | | 7,500 | | 37 | | 0.21% |
| Mixed Income Loan Fee | | 113,974 | | 564 | | 3.25% |
| Other Monitoring Fees | | | | - | | 0.00% |
| Real Estate Taxes | | 18,691 | | 93 | | 0.53% |
| Other Taxes & Insurance SUBTOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | \$ | 155,244 1,627,580 | \$ | 769 8,057 | | 4.43% |
| | Ψ | 1,027,000 | Ψ | 0,007 | | 40.407 |
| Replacement Reserves | \$ | 60,600 | \$ | 300 | | 1.73% |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | \$ | 1,688,180 | \$ | 8,357 | | 48.21% |
| NET OPERATING INCOME (NOI) | \$ | 1,813,786 | \$ | 8,979 | | 51.799 |
| | | | | | | |
| DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS | | AMOUNT | | ER UNIT | | % |
| - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | 0.00% |
| - | \$ | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| - | \$ | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| PWB First Lien Loan | \$ | 1,576,952 | | 7,807 | | 45.03% |
| - | \$ | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| | \$ | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| - | \$ | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| MIP Annual Fee (applicable for MIP only deals) | \$ | - | | - | | 0.00% |
| TOTAL DEBT SERVICE & OTHER PAYMENTS | \$ | 1,576,952 | \$ | 7,807 | | 45.03% |
| EXCESS AFTER DEBT SERVICE & MONITORING FEES | \$ | 236,834 | \$ | 1,172 | | 6.76 |
| DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE RATIO (DSCR) | \$ | 1 | to 1 | | 1 | |
| | * | | | | 1 | |
| Date: 6/19/20 | | San | ior 9 | taff Date: | 0 | 7/07/20 |

| PROJECTED PERMANENT LOAN CASH FL0 | NS | | | | | | | | | | The Atchison | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Final Commitment | 15 | | | | | | | | | Project Number | 19-065-A/X | |
| | YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| RENTAL INCOME | CPI | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted Unit Rents | 2.50% | 3,662,040 | 3,753,591 | 3,847,431 | 3,943,617 | 4,042,207 | 4,143,262 | 4,246,844 | 4,353,015 | 4,461,840 | 4,573,386 | 4,687,721 |
| Unrestricted Unit Rents | 2.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial Rents | 2.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Project Based Rental Subsidy | 1.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Project Based Subsidy | 1.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income during renovations | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Subsidy (Specify) | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Laundry Income | 2.50% | 24,240 | 24,846 | 25,467 | 26,104 | 26,757 | 27,425 | 28,111 | 28,814 | 29,534 | 30,273 | 31,029 |
| Parking & Storage Income | 2.50% | _ | - | | | | | - | | - | | |
| Miscellaneous Income | 2.50% | - | | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | TENTIAL INCOME (GPI) | 3,686,280 | 3,778,437 | 3,872,898 | 3,969,720 | 4.068.963 | 4,170,688 | 4.274.955 | 4,381,829 | 4,491,374 | 4,603,659 | 4,718,750 |
| VACANCY ASSUMPTIONS | Vacancy | .,, | -, -, - | .,. , | .,, | ,, | , ,,,,,, | , , | 1 | , - ,- | ,, | , , , |
| Restricted Unit Rents | 5.00% | 183,102 | 187,680 | 192,372 | 197,181 | 202,111 | 207,164 | 212,343 | 217,651 | 223,092 | 228,670 | 234,387 |
| Unrestricted Unit Rents | 7.00% | - | | | - | , | | | , | | , | |
| Commercial Rents | 50.00% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Based Rental Subsidy | 5.00% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - |
| Other Project Based Subsidy | 3.00% 20.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income during renovations | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Subsidy (Specify) | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Laundry Income | 5.00% | 1,212 | 1,243 | 1,274 | 1,305 | 1,338 | 1,372 | 1,406 | 1,441 | 1,477 | 1,514 | 1,552 |
| Parking & Storage Income | 50.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Income | 50.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | 184,315 | 188,922 | 193,646 | 198,487 | 203,449 | 208,535 | 213,748 | 219,092 | 224,569 | 230,184 | 235,938 |
| | E GROSS INCOME (EGI) | 3,501,965 | 3,589,515 | 3,679,252 | 3,771,234 | 3,865,515 | 3,962,152 | 4,061,206 | 4,162,736 | 4,266,805 | 4,373,475 | 4,482,812 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | CPI / Fee | 150 170 | 100.015 | 100 500 | 100.150 | 540.004 | 505 007 | | 570.404 | 500.404 | | |
| Administrative Expenses | 3.50% | 450,478 | 466,245 | 482,563 | 499,453 | 516,934 | 535,027 | 553,752 | 573,134 | 593,194 | 613,955 | 635,444 |
| Management Fee | 5.00% | 175,098 | 179,476 | 183,963 | 188,562 | 193,276 | 198,108 | 203,060 | 208,137 | 213,340 | 218,674 | 224,141 |
| Utilities | 3.50% | 305,851 | 316,556 | 327,635 | 339,102 | 350,971 | 363,255 | 375,969 | 389,128 | 402,747 | 416,844 | 431,433 |
| Operating & Maintenance | 3.50% | 400,744 | 414,770 | 429,287 | 444,312 | 459,863 | 475,958 | 492,617 | 509,858 | 527,703 | 546,173 | 565,289 |
| Ground Lease Payments | 3.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CalHFA Monitoring Fee | 0.00% | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Mixed Income Loan Fee | 0.00% | 113,974 | 113,326 | 112,653 | 111,954 | 111,229 | 110,476 | 109,694 | 108,882 | 108,039 | 107,165 | 106,256 |
| | 0.00% | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Real Estate Taxes | 1.25% | 18,691 | 18,925 | 19,161 | 19,401 | 19,643 | 19,889 | 20,137 | 20,389 | 20,644 | 20,902 | 21,163 |
| Other Taxes & Insurance | 3.50% | 155,244 | 160,678 | 166,301 | 172,122 | 178,146 | 184,381 | 190,835 | 197,514 | 204,427 | 211,582 | 218,987 |
| Required Reserve Payments | 1.00% | 60,600 | 61,206 | 61,818 | 62,436 | 63,061 | 63,691 | 64,328 | 64,971 | 65,621 | 66,277 | 66,940 |
| | OPERATING EXPENSES | 1,688,180 | 1,738,680 | 1,790,882 | 1,844,842 | 1,900,622 | 1,958,284 | 2,017,892 | 2,079,513 | 2,143,216 | 2,209,071 | 2,277,153 |
| | PERATING INCOME (NOI) | 1,813,785 | 1,850,834 | 1,888,371 | 1,926,392 | 1,964,892 | 2,003,868 | 2,043,314 | 2,083,223 | 2,123,589 | 2,164,404 | 2,205,659 |
| DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS | Lien # | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | - | - | | - | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PWB First Lien Loan | 1 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 |
| FWB FIISt LIGH LOGH | 1 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 | 1,570,952 |
| - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MID Appuel Fee (applicable for MID and 1111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MIP Annual Fee (applicable for MIP only deals) | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | CE & OTHER PAYMENTS | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 |
| | V AFTER DEBT SERVICE | 236,833 | 273,882 | 311,419 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | 628,707 |
| | VICE COVERAGE RATIO | 1.15 | 1.17 | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.32 | 1.35 | 1.37 | 1.40 |
| Date Prepared: | 06/19/20 | | | | | | | | | Senior Staff Date: | 7/7/20 | |
| | 001 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LESS: Asset Management Fee | 3% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| LESS: Partnership Management Fee | 3% | - | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| net CF available for distribution | | 236,833 | 273,882 | 311,419 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | 628,707 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 6.486.107 | 6,098,167 | 5,671,250 | 5,204,888 | 4,698,617 | 4,151,979 | 3,564,527 |
| Deferred developer fee repayment | 7,657,681 | 7,657,681 | 7,420,848 | 7,146,966 | 6,835,547 | 0,400,107 | 0,000,107 | | 0,204,000 | | 1,101,010 | |
| Deferred developer fee repayment | 7,657,681 at 100% | 7,657,681 236,833 | 7,420,848 273,882 | 7,146,966 311,419 | 6,835,547 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | 628,707 |
| Deferred developer fee repayment | 1 1 | 236,833 | 273,882 | 311,419 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | |
| Deferred developer fee repayment | 1 1 | | | | | -11 - | | | -1 - 1 | | | <u>628,707</u> 2,935,821 |
| | 1 1 | 236,833 7,420,848 | 273,882 | 311,419 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments | at 100% | 236,833 | 273,882 | 311,419 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS | at 100% Payment % | 236,833 7,420,848 50% | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIP | at 100% <u>Payment %</u> 100.000% | 236,833 7,420,848 | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 - | <u>349,440</u> 6,486,107 - - | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | <u>506,271</u> 4,698,617 - | 546,637 | <u>587,452</u> 3,564,527 - | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS | at 100% Payment % | 236,833 7,420,848 50% | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 | 349,440 | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | 506,271 | 546,637 | 587,452 | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIP Total Residual Receipts Payments | at 100% <u>Payment %</u> 100.000% | 236,833 7,420,848 50% | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 - | <u>349,440</u> 6,486,107 - - | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | <u>506,271</u> 4,698,617 - | 546,637 | <u>587,452</u> 3,564,527 - | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIP Total Residual Receipts Payments Balances for Residual Receipt Payments | at 100% <u>Payment %</u> 100.000% 100.00% | 236,833 7,420,848 50% | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 - | <u>349,440</u> 6,486,107 - - | 387,940 | 426,916 | 466,362 | <u>506,271</u> 4,698,617 - | 546,637 | <u>587,452</u> 3,564,527 - | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIP Total Residual Receipts Payments Balances for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS | at 100% <u>Payment %</u> 100.000% 100.00% <u>Interest Rate</u> | 236,833 7,420,848 50% - - | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 - - - | <u>349,440</u> 6,486,107 - - - | <u>387,940</u> 6,098,167 - - - | <u>426,916</u> 5,671,250 - - - | <u>466,362</u> 5,204,888 - - - | <u>506,271</u> 4,698,617 - - | 546,637 4,151,979 | <u>587,452</u> 3,564,527 - - | 2,935,821 - - - |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIP Total Residual Receipts Payments Balances for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIPSimple | at 100% <u>Payment %</u> 100.000% 100.00% | 236,833 7,420,848 50% - - - 10,000,000 | <u>273,882</u> 7,146,966 - - - 10,275,000 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 - - 10,550,000 | <u>349,440</u> 6,486,107 - - 10,825,000 | <u>387,940</u> 6,098,167 - - 11,100,000 | <u>426,916</u> 5,671,250 - - 11,375,000 | <u>466,362</u> 5,204,888 - - - 11,650,000 | <u>506,271</u> 4,698,617 - - 11,925,000 | <u>546,637</u> 4,151,979 - - - 12,200,000 | <u>587,452</u> 3,564,527 - - 12,475,000 | 2,935,821 |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS MIP Total Residual Receipts Payments Balances for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS | at 100% <u>Payment %</u> 100.000% 100.00% <u>Interest Rate</u> | 236,833 7,420,848 50% - - | 273,882 7,146,966 | <u>311,419</u> 6,835,547 - - - | <u>349,440</u> 6,486,107 - - - | <u>387,940</u> 6,098,167 - - - | <u>426,916</u> 5,671,250 - - - | <u>466,362</u> 5,204,888 - - - | <u>506,271</u> 4,698,617 - - | 546,637 4,151,979 | <u>587,452</u> 3,564,527 - - | 2,935,82 - - - |

| Final Commitment | LOWS | · | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Final Commitment | YEAR | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| RENTAL INCOME | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 17 |
| | CPI | 4 904 014 | 4 005 007 | 5 040 462 | E 474 007 | 5 202 726 | E 400 04 |
| Restricted Unit Rents | 2.50% | 4,804,914 | 4,925,037 | 5,048,163 | 5,174,367 | 5,303,726 | 5,436,31 |
| Unrestricted Unit Rents | 2.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial Rents | 2.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Project Based Rental Subsidy | 1.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Project Based Subsidy | 1.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income during renovations | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Subsidy (Specify) | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Laundry Income | 2.50% | 31,805 | 32,600 | 33,415 | 34,251 | 35,107 | 35,98 |
| - | | 01,000 | - | 00,410 | 04,201 | - | |
| Parking & Storage Income | 2.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Income | 2.50% | | - | - | - | - | - |
| | POTENTIAL INCOME (GPI) | 4,836,719 | 4,957,637 | 5,081,578 | 5,208,617 | 5,338,833 | 5,472,30 |
| VACANCY ASSUMPTIONS | Vacancy | | | | | | |
| Restricted Unit Rents | 5.00% | 240,246 | 246,252 | 252,409 | 258,719 | 265,187 | 271,81 |
| Unrestricted Unit Rents | 7.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial Rents | 50.00% | | | | | | _ |
| | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Project Based Rental Subsidy | 5.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Project Based Subsidy | 3.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income during renovations | 20.00% | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Subsidy (Specify) | 0.00% | | - | - | - | - | |
| Laundry Income | 5.00% | 1,591 | 1,630 | 1,671 | 1,713 | 1,756 | 1,80 |
| - | | 1,001 | 1,030 | 1,071 | 1,713 | 1,750 | 1,00 |
| Parking & Storage Income | 50.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Income | 50.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | OJECTED VACANCY LOSS | 241,837 | 247,883 | 254,080 | 260,432 | 266,943 | 273,61 |
| EFFEC | TIVE GROSS INCOME (EGI) | 4,594,882 | 4,709,754 | 4,827,498 | 4,948,186 | 5,071,890 | 5,198,68 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | CPI / Fee | | | | | | |
| Administrative Expenses | 3.50% | 657,684 | 680,703 | 704,528 | 729,186 | 754,708 | 781,12 |
| Management Fee | 5.00% | 229,744 | 235,488 | 241,375 | 247,409 | 253,595 | 259,93 |
| Utilities | 3.50% | | 462,162 | 478.338 | 495,079 | | 530.34 |
| | | 446,533 | | - , | | 512,407 | , - |
| Operating & Maintenance | 3.50% | 585,074 | 605,552 | 626,746 | 648,682 | 671,386 | 694,88 |
| Ground Lease Payments | 3.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CalHFA Monitoring Fee | 0.00% | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,50 |
| Mixed Income Loan Fee | 0.00% | 105,314 | 104,335 | 103,319 | 102,264 | 101,169 | 100,03 |
| | 0.00% | 100,011 | 101,000 | 100,010 | 102,201 | 101,100 | 100,00 |
| B 15 1 5 | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Real Estate Taxes | 1.25% | 21,428 | 21,696 | 21,967 | 22,241 | 22,519 | 22,80 |
| Other Taxes & Insurance | 3.50% | 226,652 | 234,584 | 242,795 | 251,293 | 260,088 | 269,19 |
| Required Reserve Payments | 1.00% | 67,610 | 68,286 | 68,968 | 69,658 | 70,355 | 71,05 |
| | AL OPERATING EXPENSES | 2,347,538 | 2,420,305 | 2,495,535 | 2,573,313 | 2,653,726 | 2,736,86 |
| | OPERATING INCOME (NOI) | 2,247,344 | 2,289,449 | 2,331,963 | 2,374,873 | 2,418,164 | 2,461,82 |
| DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS | Lien # | | _,, | _,, | | _,, | _,,. |
| DEBT SERVICE FAIMENTS | Lien # | | | | | | |
| - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PWB First Lien Loan | 1 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,95 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MIP Annual Fee (applicable for MIP only dea | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL DEBT SEF | VICE & OTHER PAYMENTS | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,952 | 1,576,95 |
| CASH FL | OW AFTER DEBT SERVICE | 670,392 | 712,497 | 755,011 | 797,921 | 841,212 | 884,87 |
| DEBT S | ERVICE COVERAGE RATIO | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.48 | 1.51 | 1.53 | 1.56 |
| Date Prepare | d: 06/19/20 | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | | |
| LESS: Asset Management Fe | e 3% | - | - | _ | - | - | |
| - | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| LESS: Partnership Management Fe | e 3% | | | | | | |
| net CF available for distribution | | 670,392 | 712,497 | 755,011 | 797,921 | 841,212 | 884,87 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Deferred developer fee repayment | 7,657,681 | 2,935,821 | 2.265.429 | 1,552,931 | 797,920 | - | |
| a developer ree repayment | at 100% | | 712,497 | | | | - |
| | at 100% | 670,392 | | 755,011 | 797,920 | <u> </u> | |
| | | 2,265,429 | 1,552,931 | 797,920 | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Payments for Residual Receipt Payments | | | | | | | |
| | Boyment 0/ | | | | ~ | 420 600 | 440.44 |
| RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS | Payment % | | - | - | 0 | 420,606 | 442,43 |
| MIP | 100.000% | - | - | - | 0 | 420,606 | 442,43 |
| otal Residual Receipts Payments | 100.00% | - | - | - | 0 | 420,606 | 442,43 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Balances for Residual Receint Paymente | | | | | | | |
| | Interact Pata | | | | | | |
| RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS | Interest Rate | | 10.052 | 10 555 55 | 40.057.77 | | 10.000 |
| Balances for Residual Receipt Payments RESIDUAL RECEIPTS LOANS WIPSimple Total Residual Receipts Payments | Interest Rate 2.75% | 13,025,000 13,025,000 | 13,300,000 13,300,000 | 13,575,000 13,575,000 | 13,850,000 13,850,000 | 14,125,000 14,125,000 | 13,979,39 |



The CalHFA Mixed-Income Program ("MIP") provides competitive long-term subordinate financing for new construction multifamily housing projects restricting units (tax credit or CalHFA) between 30% and 120% of county Area Median Income ("AMI").

The MIP must be paired with CalHFA's Conduit Bond Issuance Program and a CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Construction Lender (defined below). Additionally, the program must be paired with CalHFA's Permanent Loan product or a sponsor must work with a CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Permanent Lender (defined below). The Mixed-Income resources will take the form of a subordinate loan to incentivize newly developed multifamily housing projects that serve a range of very low to moderate income renters. Eligible projects must create newly constructed regulated units that meet the income and occupancy requirements reflected below.

Qualifications

APPLICATION:

Sponsors/developers must submit a complete application package which includes all items listed on the application, the application addendum and the checklist. Incomplete application packages will not be considered. The application and checklist can be found at www.calhfa.ca.gov/multifamily/mixedincome/forms/index.htm. If the sponsor/developer is not able to meet the readiness timeline referenced below, MIP funds may be rescinded and reallocated.

AVAILABILITY:

Available to for-profit, non-profit, and public agency sponsors. Development teams must meet CalHFA experience requirements, as defined in the CalHFA Development Team Qualifications section below.

USES:

MIP Subsidy loans must be used in conjunction with CalHFA's Conduit Bond Issuance Program and a construction loan from a CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Construction Lender. MIP Subsidy loans must also be used in conjunction with CalHFA's permanent first-lien mortgage financing or financing from a CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Permanent Lender. CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Construction and Qualified Permanent Lenders are defined in the CalHFA Lender Qualifications section below.

FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Projects accessing the MIP Subsidy Loan funds must be structured as one of the following:

- 1. Tax-exempt Bond and 4% tax credit project where at least 51% of the units in each project must be tax credit financed, OR
- Qualified mixed-income project under the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's (CDLAC) regulations (50% or fewer units designated as tax credit or tax-exempt bond restricted) utilizing an allocation of private activity bonds to finance the project, OR
- 3. Qualified mixed-income project through income averaging.

Kevin Brown, Housing Finance Specialist 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1400, MS-990 Sacramento, CA 95814 916.326.8808 kbrown@calhfa.ca.gov Ruth Vakili, Housing Finance Officer 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1400, MS-990 Sacramento, CA 95814 916.326.8816 rvakili@calhfa.ca.gov

2019 CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

Qualifications (continued)

READINESS:

Projects must have site control and be prepared to submit for a bond and tax credit allocation and will only receive funds if bonds are issued within the issuance timeframes specified in CDLAC Regulations Section 5100.

- Site: The site must be ready for construction (all potential environmental issues have been identified, mitigation plan is in place, and costs associated with the mitigation plan have been incorporated in the development budget). Environmental issues may include but not be limited to receipt of clearances for CEQA, NEPA, and applicable tribal land environmental reviews.
- 2. **Construction Start:** All projects must commit to begin construction 180 days from the earlier of the date of the tax-exempt bond allocation or 4% federal/state tax credit reservation. Within the 180-day period the following items must be submitted to CalHFA in their final form:
 - a. A complete updated application (inclusive of all CalHFA Addendum Items) form along with a detailed explanation of any changes from the initial application,
 - b. An executed construction contract,
 - c. Recorded deeds of trust for all construction financing (unless a project's location on tribal trust land precludes this),
 - d. Binding commitments for any other financing required to complete project construction,
 - e. Copy of a limited partnership agreement executed by the general partner/sponsor and the investor limited partner/equity provider,
 - f. Payment of all construction lender fees,
 - g. Copies of buildings permits (a grading permit does not suffice to meet this requirement except that in the event that the city or county as a rule does not issue building permits prior to the completion of grading, a grading permit shall suffice; if the project is a design build project in which the city or county does not issue building permits until designs are fully complete, the city or county shall have approved construction to begin) or the applicable tribal documents,
 - h. Copy of the notice to proceed delivered to the contractor,
 - i. If no construction lender is involved, evidence must be submitted within 180 days, as applicable, that the equity partner has been admitted to the ownership entity, and that an initial disbursement of funds has occurred.

j. Other documentation and information necessary to close construction financing required by CalHFA. MIP ALLOCATION LIMITS:

- 1. **10% Project Cap:** No project may receive more than 10% of the total MIP allocation for the respective year.
- 2. **33% Sponsor Cap:** No sponsor (any individual, entity, affiliate and related entity) may receive more than 33% of MIP funds for the respective year.
- 3. 33% County Cap: No one county may receive more than 33% of MIP funds for the respective year.
- 4. **25% Age-Restricted Cap:** No more than 25% of MIP funds for the respective year may be received by age-restricted projects.

EVIDENCE OF COST CONTAINMENT:

A Cost Containment Analysis will be completed by CalHFA at the time of the Construction Loan Closing. The developer/sponsor must certify that cost containment measures have been implemented to minimize construction costs. These measures may include but are not limited to 1) competitively bidding out all major subcontractor and self-performing trades 2) obtain 3 bids for all major trades and 3) engage value engineer/ consultant during the design process.

| Qualifications (continued) | EVIDENCE OF SUBSIDY EFFICIENCY: A Subsidy Efficiency Analysis will be completed as part of the Application review. The analysis will be completed again prior to closing the MIP Subordinate Loan and the MIP Loan amount may be adjusted based on the final analysis. Parameters of the analysis may include but are not limited to the following: A maximum of 1.20 Debt Service Coverage Ratio ("DSCR"). CalHFA may allow an initial DSCR higher than 1.20 on a case by case basis, if deemed necessary; A project cash flow that supports the residential component of the project based on the required CalHFA permanent first lien annual debt service coverage ratio; A separate project cash flow that supports any commercial component of the project; A cashflow after debt service that is limited to the higher of 25% of the anticipated annual must pay debt service payment or 8% of gross income, during each of the first 3 years of project operation; Inflation factors and vacancy rates consistent with the Agency's Underwriting Standards and Reference Manual ("USRM"); Developer Fee requirements will match those required under the 4% federal and/or state tax credit reservation. Capitalized reserves shall be subject to approval by Agency for reasonableness consistent with the USRM and the Investor Limited Partnership Agreement (ILPA); Review of Excess Sources over final Uses as approved by CalHFA resulting from any of the following: An increase in permanent loan debt due to a combination of permanent loan rate reduction and/or reduction to operating expense assumptions; Construction Cost Savings as evidenced by final cost certification, funds shall be used to reduce the MIP loan prior to CalHFA MIP loan closing or if required by other subordinate lenders, funds may be split on a pro rata basis between CalHFA and other subordinate lenders. |
|---|---|
| CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Lender Qualifications | A CalHFA Qualified Construction Lender is defined as a Construction Lender that has closed at least 5 construction loans using tax-exempt bonds and 4% federal and/or state tax credits in California within the last 3 years and satisfies the requirement set forth within the application. A CalHFA Qualified Permanent Lender is defined as a Permanent Lender that has closed at least 5 Permanent loans using tax-exempt bonds and 4% federal and/or state tax credits in California within the last 3 years and satisfies the requirement set forth within the application. |
| CalHFA Mixed-Income Development Team Qualifications | The Developer/Co-Developer must be registered to do business and in good standing in the state of California. A CalHFA Qualified Developer/Co-Developer must have developed at least three (3) comparable projects within the past five (5) years. The proposed Project Manager must have personally managed the development of at least two (2) comparable projects within the past 5 years. Financial Consultants hired to assist the Developer in meeting the minimum experience requirements must be able to provide details regarding at least three (3) comparably financed projects over the last five (5) years. |

| CalHFA Mixed-Income Development Team Qualifications (Continued) | Architects new to CalHFA must provide information for three (3) comparable projects they designed that were built and occupied within the past five (5) years. General Contractor (GC) must be licensed by the State of California. GCs new to CalHFA must provide information related to three (3) comparable (in design) projects built in the past five (5) years. Similar information will be required for the proposed on-site construction supervisor. The on-site construction supervisor must have overseen three (3) comparable projects built in the past five (5) years, and they must have overseen the projects from construction start to final completion. Management Company must have a local presence or a field office in Northern or Southern CA (depending on the location of the Project) and have experience managing at least ten (10) low to moderate income rent restricted Comparable (size and tenant types) Projects. Also required is a resume for the proposed on-site Property Manager, reflecting prior experience during the past five (5) years managing onsite project operations and compliance with rent restricted units. |
|--|--|
| Permanent First Lien Loan | Provided by CalHFA or a CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Permanent Lender. The permanent loan must meet an initial DSCR of at least 1.15 and must maintain a DSCR of 1.0 or higher for the term of the permanent first lien loan. CalHFA may require an initial DSCR higher than 1.15 on a case by case basis, if deemed necessary. |
| Construction First Lien Loan | Provided by a CalHFA Mixed-Income Qualified Construction Lender. |
| Limitations | MIP cannot be combined with the Tax Credit Allocation Committee's (TCAC) 9% program. MIP cannot be combined with other state subordinate debt and/or subsidy programs (this does not include state tax credits). Inclusion of other subordinate debt and subsidy will be allowed at CalHFA's discretion. Projects that have a below market rate component as a result of an inclusionary obligation or are 100% below market as a result of an inclusionary obligation must demonstrate master developer commitment through a dollar-for-dollar match of CalHFA's resources. Match can be obtained through a monetary match or equivalent in-kind contributions (e.g., land donation, land use fee concessions.) At the time of MIP application to CalHFA, a project must not have already received an allocation of 4% federal and/or state tax credits from TCAC or a tax-exempt bond allocation from CDLAC. Projects will not be eligible for other subsidy resources from CalHFA in addition to MIP. |
| Mixed-Income Project Occupancy Requirements | FEDERAL BOND REGULATORY AGREEMENT REQUIREMENTS (ALL PROJECTS): Must maintain either (a) 20% of the units must be rent restricted and occupied by individuals whose incomes are 50% or less of AMI with adjustments for household size ("20% @ 50% AMI"), OR (b) 40% or more of the units must be both rent restricted and occupied by individuals whose incomes are 60% or less of AMI with adjustments for household size ("40% @ 60% AMI"): in the latter case, a minimum of 10% of the unit types must be at 50% or less of AMI ("10% @ 50% AMI"). MIXED INCOME REGULATORY AGREEMENT REQUIREMENTS (ALL PROJECTS): Affordability Requirements: 1. To qualify, a project must have at least 10% of the total units restricted as follows*: a. 81% to 120% of AMI with an average of 100% of AMI or greater OR if the market study does not support restrictions at these levels, |

| Mixed-Income Project Occupancy Requirements (Continued) | b. 60% to 80% of AMI with an average of 70% of AMI or greater, subject to the Maximum Allowable Rents outlined below. *(Deviations from the average unit affordability levels of 70% AMI or 100% AMI outlined above will only be considered if market study supports such deviations.) 2. AND either a. Tax credit transactions that are income-averaged must not exceed an average affordability of 60% AMI across all restricted units, OR b. Mixed income per CDLAC definitions, a Qualified Residential Rental Project having 50% or fewer of its total units designated as Restricted Rental Units (as restricted by a Bond or TCAC Regulatory Agreement at 60% AMI or lower-CDLAC Regulations Article 1, Section 5000). Note: These restrictions will remain in effect for 55 years. MIP regulatory agreement will restrict 10% of the total units at or below 80% of AMI, another 10% of the total units at or below 50% of AMI (or 80% AMI if there is an exception pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 51335), and in addition to these restrictions, a minimum of 10% of the total units between 81% up to 120% of AMI OR (subject to the requirements identified above) 10%-29% of the total units between 60% up to 80% AMI, and the remaining units restricted at or below 120% of AMI, except for the designated manager's unit(s). MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE RENTS: Rents for units restricted at 80% AMI and below must be at least 10% below market rents as evidenced by a current Market Study. |
|---|--|
| Mixed-Income Subordinate Loan | Maximum loan amount for each project shall not exceed 10% of total MIP allocation for the respective year. Maximum loan per restricted (tax credit or CalHFA) units between 50%-80% AMI shall be \$50,000. Maximum loan per MIP restricted units between 81%-120% AMI shall be \$100,000. Projects located within the Highest or High Resource areas designated on the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map shall be eligible for an additional 5% of the project eligible basis per 4% federal and state tax credit program. <u>Opportunity Map Home Page</u> Loan size based on project need but cannot be more than 50% of the permanent loan amount. |
| Mixed-Income Subordinate Loan Rates & Terms | Interest Rate: 2.75% simple interest. Loan Term: The MIP loan term shall be coterminous with the permanent first lien loan. Loan Payment: Residual receipt repayment based on cash flow analysis and split 50% to Owner and 50% to CalHFA and other residual receipt lenders. Residual receipt is defined as 50% of surplus cash which is determined as net operating income minus total debt service and other Agency approved payments. Payments shall be applied to the current and/or accrued interest and then principal of the MIP loan. Affordability Term: Up to 55 years. Assignability: Consent will be considered. Prepayment: May be prepaid at any time without penalty. |

| Mixed-Income Subordinate Loan Rates & Terms (Continued) | Subordination: A subordination and/or extension of MIP maturity request in conjunction with a resyndication, refinance, or ownership transfer ("capitalization event(s)") will be considered. If MIP loan is outstanding at time of the capitalization event(s), the original MIP annual fee schedule will remain in place until the earlier of MIP regulatory restriction expiration, including any extensions, or repayment of the MIP loan. If the outstanding MIP loan is subordinated at the time of such event, the surplus cash split between borrower and CalHFA and other residual receipt lenders may be altered to reflect an increased percentage of residual receipts to CalHFA out of Borrower's share until such time as the MIP loan is paid in full. The remaining residual receipts may be split between other residual receipt lenders. Funded: Only at permanent loan conversion. |
|--|--|
| CalHFA Conduit Bond Program | For more information on CalHFA's Conduit Issuer Program and the fees associated with it, visit CalHFA's website: www.calhfa.ca.gov/multifamily/programs/forms/termsheet-conduit.pdf |
| CalHFA First Lien Permanent Rates & Terms (subject to change) | For more information on CalHFA's Permanent Loan Program and the fees associated with it, visit CalHFA's website: www.calhfa.ca.gov/multifamily/programs/forms/termsheet-perm-tax-exempt.pdf |
| Fees (subject to change) | Program Application Fee: \$10,000 non-refundable, due at time of CalHFA MIP application submittal. The application fee shall be credited towards Loan Fee at time of MIP permanent loan closing. Loan Fee: 1.00% of the loan amount (50% due at final commitment and 50% due at CalHFA MIP loan closing). Legal Fee: \$15,000, due at loan closing (applicable if CalHFA is not providing permanent financing). Ongoing Annual MIP Fee Payable in the event that CalHFA is not the Permanent Lender: 0.35% of the Permanent Loan Amount commencing at CalHFA MIP loan closing, calculated based on the principal balance of an amortization schedule with the following assumptions: i) 55 year amortization; ii) start date, interest rate and the loan amount consistent with permanent first lien loan (this fee is applicable if CalHFA is not providing permanent financing and will remain in place until the repayment of the MIP loan). Annual Administrative Fee: \$7,500 per year (subject to change). Conduit Bond Program Fees: Refer to CalHFA Conduit Bond Program www.calhfa.ca.gov/multifamily/programs/forms/termsheet-conduit.pdf CDLAC Fees: Refer to CDLAC regulations for all applicable fees. If CalHFA is selected as the permanent lender, please refer to CalHFA First Lien Permanent Rates & Terms for first mortgage loan fees, credit enhancements, trustee fees, legal fees, inspection fees, administrative fees. |

Last revised: 11/2019

The information provided in this program description is for guidance only. While we have taken care to provide accurate information, we cannot cover every circumstance nor program nuance. This program description is subject to change from time to time without prior notice. The California Housing Finance Agency does not discriminate on any prohibited basis in employment or in the admission and access to its programs or activities. Not printed at taxpayer expense.



CONDUIT ISSUER PROGRAM

MULTIFAMILY HOUSING BONDS

The CalHFA Conduit Issuer Program is designed to facilitate access to tax-exempt and taxable bonds ("Bond") by developers that seek financing for eligible projects that provide affordable multifamily rental housing for individuals, families, seniors, veterans or special needs tenants ("Project"). The conduit Bonds may be used to finance the acquisition, rehabilitation, and/or development of an existing Project, or they can be used for the construction of a new Project.

| Qualifications | Available to for-profit, non-profit or public agency sponsors. Non-profit borrowers may be eligible for 501(c)(3) bonds. If bond proceeds are utilized to pay off an existing CalHFA portfolio loan visit www.calhfa.ca.gov for the CalHFA Portfolio Loan Prepayment Policy. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Bond Amount | Bond amount is determined by the loan amount of the selected construction lender. |
| Fees (subject to change) | Application Fee: \$5,000 non-refundable, due at time of application submittal (covers the cost of the TEFRA) and is credited towards the CalHFA Issuer Fee. Issuer Fee: The greater of \$15,000 or 0.20% of the Bond amount if less than \$20 million dollars If more than \$20 million dollars: \$40,000 + 0.10% of the amount above \$20 million dollars Annual Administrative Fee: \$7,500 (scattered site projects may require increased fees) due and payable in advance in annual installments commencing on Bond issuance through the term of the regulatory period. Public Sale: additional fee of \$5,000 to \$10,000 applies when Bonds are sold to the public. CDLAC Allocation Fee: 0.035% of the Bond amount, \$1,200 of which is due at time of CDLAC application submittal with the remaining fee due at construction loan closing, and is payable to CDLAC. CDLAC Performance Deposit: 0.50% of the requested Bond amount, not to exceed \$100,000, due at time of CDLAC application submittal. Deposit to be refunded after the Bond closing, upon receipt of authorization letter from CDLAC. The Borrower shall be responsible for all other costs of Bond issuance including fees of the underwriter, trustee, rating agencies, lender, compliance administrator, all Bond counsel legal fees, and any other parties required to complete the transaction. |

Kevin Brown, Housing Finance Specialist 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1400, MS-990 Sacramento, CA 95814 916.326.8808 kbrown@calhfa.ca.gov

CONDUIT ISSUER PROGRAM

| Occupancy Requirements | Either (A) 20% of the units must be rent restricted and occupied by individuals whose incomes are 50% or less of the area median income as determined by HUD ("AMI") with adjustments for household size ("20% @ 50% AMI"), or (B) 40% or more of the units must be both rent restricted and occupied by individuals whose income is 60% or less of the AMI, with adjustments for household size ("40% @ 60% AMI"); however in the latter case, a minimum of 10% of the units must be at 50% or less of AMI. |
|---------------------------|--|
| | Borrower will be required to enter into a Regulatory Agreement which will be recorded against the Project for the Qualified Project Period (as defined in the CalHFA Regulatory Agreement). This includes the latter of the federally-required qualified project period, repayment of the Bond funded loan, redemption of the Bonds or the full term of the CDLAC Resolution requirements. |

Last revised: 03/2019

The information provided in this program description is for guidance only. While we have taken care to provide accurate information, we cannot cover every circumstance nor program nuance. This program description is subject to change from time to time without prior notice. The California Housing Finance Agency does not discriminate on any prohibited basis in employment or in the admission and access to its programs or activities. Not printed at taxpayer expense.



